

Lecture 6. Discrete Markov chains, continued.

Up to now, we were concerned with transition probabilities $p_{yy}^{(n)}$ and first return probabilities $f_{yy}^{(k)}$ for one state $y \in X$. What about $p_{xy}^{(n)}, f_{xy}^{(k)}$ with $x \neq y$?

Theorem 6.1. *If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{yy}^{(n)} < \infty$ (i.e. the state y is transient), then also $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{xy}^{(n)} < \infty$ for any other $x \in X$. If there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{yy}^{(n)} = p_y$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{xy}^{(n)} = P_x\{\tau_y < \infty\} \cdot p_y$.*

Proof. We have for $x \neq y$:

$$p_{xy}^{(n)} = \sum_{k=1}^n f_{xy}^{(k)} p_{yy}^{(n-k)}, \tag{6.1}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{xy}^{(n)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n f_{xy}^{(k)} p_{yy}^{(n-k)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} f_{xy}^{(k)} p_{yy}^{(n-k)} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{xy}^{(k)} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{yy}^{(n-k)} < \infty \tag{6.2}$$

if the state y is transient. As for $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{xy}^{(n)}$, we take a k_0 so that $\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} f_{xy}^{(k)} < \varepsilon/2$, and an n_0 so that $p_y - \varepsilon/2 < p_{yy}^{(n)} < p_y + \varepsilon/2$ for $n \geq n_0$. Then we have from (6.1) for $n \geq n_0 + k_0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{xy}^{(k)} \cdot p_y - \varepsilon &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{k_0-1} f_{xy}^{(k)} \cdot p_y - \varepsilon/2 < \sum_{k=1}^{k_0-1} f_{xy}^{(k)} p_{yy}^{(n-k)} \\ &\leq p_{xy}^{(n)} = \sum_{k=1}^{k_0-1} f_{xy}^{(k)} p_{yy}^{(n-k)} + \sum_{k=k_0}^n f_{xy}^{(k)} p_{yy}^{(n-k)} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{k_0-1} f_{xy}^{(k)} p_{yy}^{(n-k)} + \sum_{k=k_0}^n f_{xy}^{(k)} \\ &< \sum_{k=1}^{k_0-1} f_{xy}^{(k)} \cdot (p_y + \varepsilon/2) + \varepsilon/2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{xy}^{(k)} \cdot p_y + \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

So for sufficiently large n the transition probability $p_{xy}^{(n)}$ differs from $P_x\{\tau_y < \infty\} \cdot p_y$ less than by ε , which proves our statement.

To go further we'll introduce some more classification of states of a Markov chain – *groups* of states.

For $x, y \in X$ we'll write $x \rightarrow\rightarrow y$ (read: the state y can be reached from x) if $p_{xy}^{(N)} > 0$ for some N . It is clear that $x \rightarrow\rightarrow x$ (take $N = 0$); for $x \neq y$ we have $x \rightarrow\rightarrow y$ if and only if there exists a chain of points $x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} \in X$ ($N \geq 1$) such that the one-step transition probabilities $p_{x_i x_{i+1}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N-2$, and $p_{x_{N-1} y}$ are positive.

Theorem 6.2. *If $y \rightarrow\rightarrow x$ and $P_x\{\tau_y = \infty\} > 0$, then the state y is transient.*

Proof. We have a chain of states $y \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_{N-1} \rightarrow x$ connecting y and x , with positive transition probability at each step. It is possible that some intermediate

$x_i = y$; then we can take a shorter chain of states $y \rightarrow x_{i+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_{N-1} \rightarrow x$ still connecting y to x . So we can assume, without loss of generality, that all $x_i \neq y$.

We have the inclusion between events:

$$\{\xi_0 = y, \tau_y = \infty\} \supseteq \{\xi_0 = y, \xi_1 = x_1, \dots, \xi_{N-1} = x_{N-1}, \xi_N = x, \tau_y = \infty\}. \quad (6.4)$$

From this inclusion we get the inequality between the probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned} P_y\{\tau_y = \infty\} &= P_y\{\xi_0 = y, \tau_y = \infty\} \\ &\geq P_y\{\xi_0 = y, \xi_1 = x_1, \dots, \xi_{N-1} = x_{N-1}, \xi_N = x, \tau_y = \infty\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

It seems obvious that the probability in the right-hand side is equal to

$$P_y\{\xi_0 = y, \xi_1 = x_1, \dots, \xi_{N-1} = x_{N-1}, \xi_N = x\} \cdot P_x\{\tau_y = \infty\} \quad (> 0). \quad (6.6)$$

In a well-constructed system of a field of mathematics, things that “seem to be obvious” should be proved reasonably simply; so let us give it a try.

The event in the right-hand side of (6.4) can be represented as

$$\bigcap_{m=N}^{\infty} \{\xi_0 = y, \xi_1 = x_1, \dots, \xi_{N-1} = x_{N-1}, \xi_N = x, \tau_y > m\}; \quad (6.7)$$

so by the equality $P(\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} A_m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P(A_m)$ (see (2008.1–2.11)) we have:

$$P_y\{\tau_y = \infty\} \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P_y\{\xi_0 = y, \xi_1 = x_1, \dots, \xi_{N-1} = x_{N-1}, \xi_N = x, \tau_y > m\}. \quad (6.8)$$

The probability under the limit sign is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{x_{N+1}, \dots, x_m \neq y} p_{yx_1} \cdot p_{x_1x_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{x_{N-1}x} \cdot p_{xx_{N+1}} \cdot p_{x_{N+1}x_{N+2}} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{x_{m-1}x_m} \\ &= p_{yx_1} \cdot p_{x_1x_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{x_{N-1}x} \cdot \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_{m-N} \neq y} p_{xy_1} \cdot p_{y_1y_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{y_{m-N-1}y_{m-N}} \\ &= p_{yx_1} \cdot p_{x_1x_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{x_{N-1}x} \cdot P_x\{\tau_y > m - N\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

Limit passage as $m \rightarrow \infty$ yields that the right-hand side of (6.5) is indeed equal to (6.6).

So the probability $P_y\{\tau_y = \infty\}$ is positive, the state y is transient.

The relation $\rightarrow\rightarrow$ between the states is reflexive ($x \rightarrow\rightarrow x$) and transitive (from $x \rightarrow\rightarrow y$ and $y \rightarrow\rightarrow z$ it follows that $x \rightarrow\rightarrow z$); but it may be not symmetric (in general, $x \rightarrow\rightarrow y \not\Rightarrow y \rightarrow\rightarrow x$).

Let us introduce another relation between the states, a symmetric one: $x \sim y$ if $x \rightarrow\rightarrow y$ and $y \rightarrow\rightarrow x$. This relation is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive; so it is an *equivalence relation*, and we can divide the state space X into disjoint *classes of equivalence* C_i (finitely many or countably many) such that for every x and y belonging to the same class C_i we have $x \sim y$, and for $x \in C_i, y \notin C_i$ it is $x \not\sim y$. Some classes may consist of one state only.

We are going to prove that all states belonging to the same class of equivalence are of the same type: either all of them are transient, or all of them recurrent; either all of them are aperiodic, or all periodic with the same period; either the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{yy}^{(n)}$ exists for all y in the class, or for no state in the class; and if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{yy}^{(n)} = 0$ for one state, then the same is true for all other states in the same class.

But for this, to the next lecture.